

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appl. No. : 10/082,874 Confirmation No. 4966
Applicant : T. Diez
Filed : 02/26/2002
TC/A.U. : 2655
Examiner : H. X. Vo
Docket No. : 02-171
Customer No. : 34704
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313

Reasons for Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review

Dear Sir:

This paper is submitted accompanying a Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review.

In the action from which appeal is taken, the Examiner finally rejected claims 15-17 and 22 as anticipated by Tamura (JP 4327748), rejected claims 1-4, 7-8, 10 and 24-25 as obvious based upon Tamura and an ESI Engineering Publication Bush et al. (US 6397186), and rejected claims 5-6, 9 and 11-14 as obvious based upon Tamura, the ESI Publication, and Bush et al. (US 6,397,186).

Starting with claim 1, it is respectfully submitted that the Examiner is not giving proper weight to the fact that claim 1 calls for positioning the control module outside a specific noise zone where noise from the component is greater than 60 db A. In order to reject a claim under 35 USC 103, as the Examiner has done, the Examiner must establish a prima facie case that all elements of the claim are taught by some combination of the art. The Examiner has relied upon Tamura in view of ESI in rejecting claim 1. A person of ordinary skill in the art

reviewing Tamura would find no teaching whatsoever for positioning the a control module in any location with respect to the HVAC component. Further, ESI is drawn to noise control for HVAC equipment. Thus, the teachings in this document are drawn to ways of controlling noise, not adapting to it as is done by the present invention.

In finding claim 1 obvious, the Examiner correlates the specific and clear limitations of claim 1 with an assumption that the components in Tamura are "far apart", and supports his initial assumption stating that "it is well known that the HVAC device is placed on the outside of the building". Respectfully, it is pointed out that in most cases where the user is provided with a remote control device, the unit in question is a window mounted unit, and will very frequently be in the same room and potentially very close to the typical location of the user.

This line of point and counterpoint, debating whether the components in Tamura are "far" or "near", could of course continue, primarily because the prior art itself is absolutely silent on this point. However, in the total absence of any teaching in the prior art, the rejection is based upon mere speculation by the Examiner, which does not provide the basis for a sound rejection under 35 USC 103.

It is again pointed out that claim 1 calls for location of the control module outside of a specifically identified noise zone. Tamura is silent on the entire point, and ESI teaches nothing more than that machinery makes noise.

Reconsideration and favorable treatment of claim 1 are appropriate and respectfully requested.

Turning to independent claim 15, the Examiner has again missed or ignored a key point of this claim. Claim 15 calls for the system to have an indicator member for identifying a received speech command, and also for that indicator member to be a speech simulator. Thus, claim 15 calls for a system wherein a speech simulator generates an indicator that a command has been recognized. A fine but important distinction in Tamura is that Tamura teaches that a visual signal is given when a voice command is received. A proper rejection under 35 USC 102 requires that each and every element of the claim be taught in the single prior art reference. In the present instance, this is clearly not the case. As set forth previously, a speech indicator that a command has been received is of value, for example to sight impaired users, and this subject matter is not at all disclosed or taught by Tamura. Reconsideration and favorable treatment of claim 15 is appropriate and respectfully requested.

Method claim 25 calls for the subject matter of claim 1 in method steps, and this subject matter is also clearly not at all taught by Tamura or any other art of record. Allowance of claim 25 is respectfully solicited.

This paper is accompanied by authorization to charge a deposit account for an extension of time and the fee for filing a Notice of Appeal. It is believed that no additional fee is due. If any such fee is due, please charge same to deposit account no. 02-0184.

Respectfully submitted,
Tomas Diez et al.

By /george a. coury/

George A. Coury
Attorney for Applicant
Tel 203-777-6628, x113
Fax 203-865-0297
E-mail: docket@bachlap.com

November 19, 2007

PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW		Docket Number (Optional) 02-171									
<p>I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to "Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR 1.8(a)]</p> <p>on _____</p> <p>Signature _____</p> <p>Typed or printed name _____</p>		Application Number 10/082,874	Filed 2/26/2002								
		First Named Inventor Diez et al.									
		Art Unit 2626	Examiner Vo, Huyen X.								
<p>Applicant requests review of the final rejection in the above-identified application. No amendments are being filed with this request.</p> <p>This request is being filed with a notice of appeal.</p> <p>The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attached sheet(s). Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided.</p> <p>I am the</p> <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"><tr><td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top; padding: 5px;"><input type="checkbox"/> applicant/inventor.</td><td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top; padding: 5px; text-align: center;">_____ /george a. coury/ Signature</td></tr><tr><td style="vertical-align: top; padding: 5px;"><input type="checkbox"/> assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/96)</td><td style="vertical-align: top; padding: 5px; text-align: center;">_____ George A. Coury Typed or printed name</td></tr><tr><td style="vertical-align: top; padding: 5px;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> attorney or agent of record. Registration number 34,309</td><td style="vertical-align: top; padding: 5px; text-align: center;">_____ 203-777-6628 Telephone number</td></tr><tr><td style="vertical-align: top; padding: 5px;"><input type="checkbox"/> attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34. Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34 _____</td><td style="vertical-align: top; padding: 5px; text-align: center;">_____ November 19, 2007 Date</td></tr></table> <p style="margin-top: 10px;">NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(s) are required. Submit multiple forms if more than one signature is required, see below*.</p>				<input type="checkbox"/> applicant/inventor.	_____ /george a. coury/ Signature	<input type="checkbox"/> assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/96)	_____ George A. Coury Typed or printed name	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> attorney or agent of record. Registration number 34,309	_____ 203-777-6628 Telephone number	<input type="checkbox"/> attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34. Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34 _____	_____ November 19, 2007 Date
<input type="checkbox"/> applicant/inventor.	_____ /george a. coury/ Signature										
<input type="checkbox"/> assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/96)	_____ George A. Coury Typed or printed name										
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> attorney or agent of record. Registration number 34,309	_____ 203-777-6628 Telephone number										
<input type="checkbox"/> attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34. Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34 _____	_____ November 19, 2007 Date										
<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"><tr><td style="width: 5%; text-align: center; padding: 2px;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td><td style="padding: 2px;">*Total of <u>2</u> forms are submitted.</td></tr></table>				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	*Total of <u>2</u> forms are submitted.						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	*Total of <u>2</u> forms are submitted.										

This collection of information is required by 35 U.S.C. 132. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11, 1.14 and 41.6. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

Privacy Act Statement

The **Privacy Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-579)** requires that you be given certain information in connection with your submission of the attached form related to a patent application or patent. Accordingly, pursuant to the requirements of the Act, please be advised that: (1) the general authority for the collection of this information is 35 U.S.C. 2(b)(2); (2) furnishing of the information solicited is voluntary; and (3) the principal purpose for which the information is used by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is to process and/or examine your submission related to a patent application or patent. If you do not furnish the requested information, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office may not be able to process and/or examine your submission, which may result in termination of proceedings or abandonment of the application or expiration of the patent.

The information provided by you in this form will be subject to the following routine uses:

1. The information on this form will be treated confidentially to the extent allowed under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) and the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. 552a). Records from this system of records may be disclosed to the Department of Justice to determine whether disclosure of these records is required by the Freedom of Information Act.
2. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, in the course of presenting evidence to a court, magistrate, or administrative tribunal, including disclosures to opposing counsel in the course of settlement negotiations.
3. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Member of Congress submitting a request involving an individual, to whom the record pertains, when the individual has requested assistance from the Member with respect to the subject matter of the record.
4. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a contractor of the Agency having need for the information in order to perform a contract. Recipients of information shall be required to comply with the requirements of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(m).
5. A record related to an International Application filed under the Patent Cooperation Treaty in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the International Bureau of the World Intellectual Property Organization, pursuant to the Patent Cooperation Treaty.
6. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to another federal agency for purposes of National Security review (35 U.S.C. 181) and for review pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 218(c)).
7. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the Administrator, General Services, or his/her designee, during an inspection of records conducted by GSA as part of that agency's responsibility to recommend improvements in records management practices and programs, under authority of 44 U.S.C. 2904 and 2906. Such disclosure shall be made in accordance with the GSA regulations governing inspection of records for this purpose, and any other relevant (*i.e.*, GSA or Commerce) directive. Such disclosure shall not be used to make determinations about individuals.
8. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the public after either publication of the application pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b) or issuance of a patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 151. Further, a record may be disclosed, subject to the limitations of 37 CFR 1.14, as a routine use, to the public if the record was filed in an application which became abandoned or in which the proceedings were terminated and which application is referenced by either a published application, an application open to public inspection or an issued patent.
9. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Federal, State, or local law enforcement agency, if the USPTO becomes aware of a violation or potential violation of law or regulation.